JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS						
DAMIAN ZIELINSKI				BEST BUY IMPORTS, INC.						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) PHILADELPHIA CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant PHILADELPHIA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION OF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE TH VOLVED.	IE LOCATION C	F		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	L TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in (One Box fc	or Plaintiff	
U.S. Government Plaintiff U.S. Government (U.S. Government Not a Party)		ĺ	For Diversity Cases Only) Pi	IF DEF		and One Box fo	r Defendar PTF	nt) DEF		
		Citize	Citizen of This State $\ \square$ 1 $\ \square$ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place $\ \square$ 4 $\ \square$ 4 of Business In This State							
U.S. Government Defendant	Oiversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	zen of Another State			Ö 5			
				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6					D 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.										
GONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		5 Drug Related Seizure		A 28 USC 158			ES	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability ☐ 367 Health Care/		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157		☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))			
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment☐	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				ETY RIGHTS	☐ 400 State Rea ☐ 410 Antitrust	• •		
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			☐ 820 Copy ☐ 830 Paten	t	430 Banks an450 Commercial	ce	ţ	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product				t - Abbreviated Drug Application	460 Deportati470 Racketee		ed and	
(Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	RTY	LABOR	☐ 840 Trade	emark SECURITY	Corrupt C	Organizatio or Credit	ons	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending		0 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA ((1395ff)	☐ 490 Cable/Sa	t TV	101 7	
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	D 720	Act 0 Labor/Management		C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 850 Securities Exchang	е		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	□ 740	Relations O Railway Labor Act	☐ 864 SSID ☐ 865 RSI (☐ 890 Other Sta ☐ 891 Agricultu		tions	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability		I Family and Medical Leave Act			☐ 893 Environm ☐ 895 Freedom			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 🗆 79	0 Other Labor Litigation		AL TAX SUITS	Act		ation	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee		1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act		s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	□ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administ		cedure	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	•	•	□ 871 IRS-	-Third Party SC 7609	Act/Revie Agency I	ew or App	eal of	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General			200	3C 1009	☐ 950 Constitut	ionality of	f	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	Other:	□ 46	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application			State Stat	utes		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Civil Rights	er 🗖 46	5 Other Immigration						
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions						
		☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of								
		Confinement			<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
	moved from 🔲 3	Remanded from [Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop	1	erred from er District	D 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	-	Multidist Litigation Direct File	n -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Truth in Lenc	ling Act, 15 U.S	re filing <i>(1</i>) S.C. §	o not cite jurisdictional stat 1601			L	Meet Pile	<u>C</u>	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	use: Financing F	raud b	y a used car deal	ler - viol	ations of the	e Truth in	Lendi	no Act/	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	C	HECK YES only i	if demanded in			
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER				
DATE 0/2/11		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY.0	FRECORD		1 NOWIDER				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY								···		
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDĢE		MAG. JUD	GE			

Case 2:17-cv-03517-GJP Document 1 Filed 08/03/17 Page 2 of 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of

APPENDIX F

assignment to appropriate calendar.		The second system is maintained the timegory of the clase for the purpose of							
Address of Plaintiff: 3244 Mercer Street,	Philadelphia, PA 19134								
Address of Defendants: 4060 Frankford Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19124									
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	3244 Mercer Street, Philadelphia, PA 1913	4							
Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)									
Does this civil action involve a nongovernm (Attach two copies of the Disclosure State	ental corporate party with any parent corporation ement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P.	on and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? 7.1(a) Yes \(\square\) No \(\square\)							
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation	n possibilities?	Yes No 🗵							
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:									
Case Number:	Judge	Date Terminated:							
	s answered to any of the following questions:								
Is this case related to property include	ed in an earlier numbered suit pending or with	nin one year previously terminated action in this court?							
		Yes ☐ No ☒ s a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated Yes ☐ No ☒							
3. Does this case involve the validity or in	nfringement of a patent already in suit or any	earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously							
terminated action in this court?		Yes □ No 🏻							
CIVIL: (Place ☑ in ONE CATEGORY OA. Federal Question Cases: 1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, Marin		 B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury 3. ☐ Assault, Defamation 4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury 5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. ☐ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. ☐ Products Liability 8. ☐ Products Liability (Asbestos) 9. ☐ All other Diversity Cases (Please specify) 							
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Truth in Lending A	Act, 15 U.S.C. §1601; Regulation Z, 12 C.F.	R. 81026 and							
	fair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection	· ·							
	ARBITRATION CEI (Check appropriate) , counsel of record do hereby certify: Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the booton exclusive of interest and costs;	RTIFICATION							
DATE:									
	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.							
NOTE: A trial of	de novo will be a trial by jury only i	f there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.							
I certify that, to my knowledge, the with as noted above.	in case is not related to any case now pend	ling or within one year previously terminated action in this court except							
DATE: August 2, 2017	Andrew M. Milz, Esquire	207715							
CIV.609 (4/03)	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.							

APPENDIX I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

DAMIAN ZIELINSKI

BEST B	BUY IMPORTS, INC. : NO.					
plaintif filing tl side of designa the pla	ordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, off shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on f this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regardation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court an intiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying that defendant believes the case should be assigned.	the the rdi id s	time rever ng sa erve	of se id on		
SELEC	CT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:					
(a)	Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.					
(b)	Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits					
(c)	Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2	. (10))		
(d)	Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.					
(e)	Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases)	())		
(f)	Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	(X)		
August Date	2, 2017 Andrew M. Milz, Esquire Attorney at Law Attorney for Plaintiff	1		>		
610-822 Teleph (Civ.660)	one Fax Number E-Mail Address					

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAMIAN ZIELINSKI 3244 Mercer Street Philadelphia, PA 19134,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO.

BEST BUY IMPORTS, INC., 4060 Frankford Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19124,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action to redress financing fraud by a used car dealer. Plaintiff sues for violations of the Truth in Lending Act, 15 U.S.C. §1601, et seq. ("TILA") and implementing Regulation Z, 12 C.F.R. §1026, and Pennsylvania's Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, 73 P.S. 201-1, et seq. ("UTPCPL").
- 2. The Truth in Lending Act mandates that auto lenders disclose certain "costs of credit" associated with a consumer transaction. Accurate disclosure of the "amount financed" and "finance charge" are necessary in order for consumers to be able to make meaningful comparisons of credit alternatives. Here, Defendant violated TILA by "swallowing" \$655.00 of Plaintiff's down payment, thereby understating the down payment and misrepresenting the amount financed. This misrepresents the costs of credit in the disclosures required to be provided to Mr. Zielinski in connection with his auto loan transaction.
- 3. To make matters worse, Best Buy overcharged for the vehicle. It lured Plaintiff to its dealership with an advertisement listing an asking price of \$10,900, but once agreed upon,

deceptively inflated the purchase price to \$12,000 on the sale documents it placed in front of the unsuspecting, non-English speaking Plaintiff.

4. Defendant's deceptions, omissions and misrepresentations in this predatory transaction also violate Pennsylvania's state anti-deception law, the UTPCPL and its implementing regulations.

II. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

- 5. Subject matter jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by TILA, 15 U.S.C. §1640(e), actionable through 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337.
- 6. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

III. PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff Damian Zielinski is an adult individual and consumer residing in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania at the address captioned.
- 8. Defendant Best Buy Imports, Inc. is upon information and belief a Pennsylvania corporation with a place of business located as captioned. Best Buy Imports is a licensed seller and financier of used motor vehicles.
- 9. At all times relevant hereto, Best Buy Imports regularly extended or offered to extend consumer credit for which a finance charge is or may be imposed or which, by written agreement, payable in more than four installments. Best Buy Imports is the entity to whom the transaction which is the subject of this action is initially payable. Best Buy Imports is a "creditor" within the meaning of TILA, 15 U.S.C. §1602(f) and Regulation Z, 12 C.F.R. §1026.2(a)(17).

2

IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

The Bait and Switch

- 10. On or around April 17, 2017, Damian Zielinski visited Defendant Best Buy Import's used car lot after seeing an advertisement for a used 2010 Mazda CX-9 (the "vehicle") with an asking price of \$10,900. (See Exhibit "A" hereto, advertisement).
- 11. Upon visiting the dealership, Mr. Zielinski asked to see the advertised vehicle. Plaintiff ultimately agreed to purchase the Mazda for the advertised prices of \$10,900.
- 12. The Mazda vehicle was purchased and financed by Zielinski for primarily personal, family and household use.
- 13. Unbeknownst to Mr. Zielinski at the time, the Defendant completed the sales and finance paperwork with an inflated cash price of \$12,000.00 plus tax, tags and fees.
 - 14. Mr. Zielinski is a native of Poland and has limited proficiency in English.
- 15. Defendant tricked this unsuspecting consumer to visit its dealership upon a false promise, and then deceptively inflated the sales price. On information and belief, Best Buy Imports has a pattern and practice of such deceptive marketing.

Defendant Misrepresented the Sales and Financing Terms

- 16. As part of the purchase, Mr. Zielinski made a down payment totaling \$4,155.00, an amount that included:
 - (a) A cash payment of \$3,000,
 - (b) A check to the Commonwealth of PA (for taxes) in the amount of \$1,076; and
 - (c) A debit card payment of \$79.00.

- 17. At the time of sale, Mr. Zielinski and Defendant entered into a Retail Installment Sale Contract (or "RISC"), a copy of which is appended hereto as Exhibit "B" (redacted for privacy).
- 18. The RISC is dated April 17, 2017 and lists "Best Buy Imports, Inc." as the "creditor-seller." The RISC, a combined sales and finance document, purports to give Truth-in-Lending Disclosures required by law. (Id.)
 - 19. The Defendant's purported disclosures are inaccurate and deceptive.
- 20. Despite paying \$4,155.00 as a down payment on the vehicle, the Retail Installment Sale Contract ("RISC") provided to Mr. Zielinski lists a down payment of only \$3,500.00, a full \$655.00 less than his actual down payment. (See Exhibit "B").
- 21. As such, the amount financed listed on the Retail Installment Sale Contract (\$11,004) is inflated by \$655 and the APR, finance charge, and total sale price are therefore inaccurate. Defendant is also charging, and Plaintiff has paid, interest on the inflated sum.

Best Buy's Other Deceptive Conduct

- 22. The RISC contains other deceptive statements and bogus charges.
- 23. It levies a \$399 "Optional GAP Waiver (Debt Cancellation)" charge and an unspecified and unearned "Transfer Fee" of \$150.
- 24. The RISC lists government taxes as \$960, despite Mr. Zielinski being told he owed \$1,076, an amount he provided by check.
- 25. As a direct and proximate result of Bust Buy Import's conduct, which Zielinski relied upon to his detriment, Mr. Zielinski has suffered out-of-pocket losses the "swallowed" down payment, bogus charges, as well as other damages.

4

COUNT I TRUTH IN LENDING ACT

- 26. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein as if set forth at length.
- 27. Section 1638 of the Truth in Lending Act mandates that certain standardized disclosures be used in such a consumer finance transaction. 15 U.S.C. § 1638.
- 28. Terms such as "amount financed," "finance charge," and "annual percentage rate" must be used, as well as a "descriptive explanation" of each of these terms. 15 U.S.C. §1638(a).
- 29. The Act mandates that these disclosures be accurate, clear and conspicuous. *See* 15 U.S.C. §1632(a); Reg. Z, §1026.17(a).
- 30. Defendant's Retail Installment Sale Contract does not comply with the requirements of the TILA. Defendant's TILA disclosures are inaccurate, unclear, and misrepresent key cost of credit information, and are misleading to the consumer.
- 31. Defendant violated the Truth in Lending Act, 15 U.S.C. §§1632(a), 1638(a)(2)–(5).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Damian Zielinski, respectfully asks this Court to grant:

- (a) Actual damages;
- (b) Statutory damages;
- (c) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- (c) Such other relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

COUNT II PENNSYLVANIA UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES AND CONSUMER PROTECTION LAW

32. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein as if set forth at length.

- 33. Defendant represented in its online advertisement that it would sell the 2010 Mazda vehicle for \$10,900, but then unconscionably and deceptively inflated the sales price to \$12,000 in its sales and finance paperwork.
- 34. Defendant Best Buy unfairly and unconscionably pocketed \$655.00 of Plaintiff's money and gave Mr. Zielinski no credit for it in this transaction. Best Buy then fabricated how much Mr. Zielinski had paid, inflated the costs of this predatory loan, and then hid the details by misrepresenting them in the finance paperwork.
- 35. Best Buy's installment sales contract contained material omissions and misrepresentations about the consumer's down payment and cost of credit disclosures.
- 36. Best Buy's installment sales contract contained unexplained and unearned fees and charges.
- 37. Despite selling the vehicle to Mr. Zielinski in April, Defendant improperly failed to pay the taxes to the Commonwealth, causing Plaintiff to incur late fees.
- 38. Plaintiff relied on defendant's omissions and misrepresentations as they influenced his purchasing decision.
- 39. As a direct result of defendant's conduct, Plaintiff lost a portion of his down payment, amounts paid monthly in improper and inflated finance charges, and suffered other ascertainable losses.
- 40. By committing unfair and deceptive practices, omitting key terms of the finance arrangement, and misrepresenting key elements of the costs of this oppressive consumer transaction, Defendant:
 - (a) represented that the auto finance arrangement had characteristics or benefits it did not have, 73 P.S. 201-2(v);

6

- (b) engaged in other fraudulent or deceptive conduct which creates a likelihood of confusion or misunderstanding, 73 P.S. 201-2 (xxi); and
- (c) violated the Pennsylvania Bureau of Consumer Protection Automotive Industry Trade Practices, 37 Pa. Code 301.2(3), (4), and (6), constituting a per se violation of the UTPCPL.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Damian Zielinski, respectfully asks this Court to grant:

- (a) Actual damages;
- (b) Treble damages;
- (c) Rescission;
- (d) Reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and
- (e) Such other relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

V. <u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted:

Date: 8/2/17

CARY L. FLITTER

ANDREW M. MILZ

Attorneys for Plaintiff

FLITTER MILZ, P.C.

450 N. Narberth Avenue, Suite 101

Narberth, PA 19072

(610) 882-0782